UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,287	02/03/2005	Kenji Kogami	SAEG122.002APC	3585
20995 7590 09/02/2008 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			HAVLIN, ROBERT H	
IRVINE, CA 92			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/02/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personal Saeed.  Date of Interview: 21 August 2008.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2)   Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)  If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1-9.  Identification of prior art discussed: Wright et al, Makarova et al. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)   Substance of Interview including description of the general nat reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out the impand indicated they would elaborate on this in a response (regate the E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as:  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the las GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF	(3) <u>Victor Vasser</u> . (4) <u>Dan Altman</u> . ] applicant's representative ☑ No.	KOGAMI ET AL.  Art Unit  1626							
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personal (1) ROBERT HAVLIN.  (2) Kamal Saeed.  Date of Interview: 21 August 2008.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2)   Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)  If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1-9.  Identification of prior art discussed: Wright et al, Makarova et al.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)   Substance of Interview including description of the general nat reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out the impand indicated they would elaborate on this in a response (regative E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as:  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendmental lowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the las GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF	OBERT HAVLIN  rsonnel):  (3) <u>Victor Vasser</u> .  (4) <u>Dan Altman</u> .  ☐ applicant's representative	1626							
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personal (1) ROBERT HAVLIN.  (2) Kamal Saeed.  Date of Interview: 21 August 2008.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1-9.  Identification of prior art discussed: Wright et al, Makarova et al. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) Substance of Interview including description of the general nat reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out the impand indicated they would elaborate on this in a response (regate the E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as:  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIONTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF	rsonnel):  (3) <u>Victor Vasser</u> .  (4) <u>Dan Altman</u> .  ] applicant's representative  ☑ No.								
(1) ROBERT HAVLIN.  (2) Kamal Saeed.  Date of Interview: 21 August 2008.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1-9.  Identification of prior art discussed: Wright et al, Makarova et al. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ Substance of Interview including description of the general nat reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out the implicant indicated they would elaborate on this in a response (regate the E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as:  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF	(3) <u>Victor Vasser</u> . (4) <u>Dan Altman</u> . ] applicant's representative ☑ No.	·]							
Date of Interview: 21 August 2008.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1-9.  Identification of prior art discussed: Wright et al, Makarova et al. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ Substance of Interview including description of the general nat reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out the impand indicated they would elaborate on this in a response (regate the E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as:  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendmentallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF	(4) <i>Dan Altman</i> . ]applicant's representative ☑ No.	<b>9</b> ]							
Date of Interview: 21 August 2008.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1-9.  Identification of prior art discussed: Wright et al, Makarova et al. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ Substance of Interview including description of the general nat reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out the impand indicated they would elaborate on this in a response (regatine E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as a the E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the las GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF	]applicant's representative ☑ No.	e]							
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1-9.  Identification of prior art discussed: Wright et al, Makarova et al. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ Substance of Interview including description of the general nat reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out the impand indicated they would elaborate on this in a response (regating the E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as:  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendmentallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the las GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF	⊠ No.	<b>3</b> ]							
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes e) □  If Yes, brief description: □	⊠ No.	·]							
If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1-9.  Identification of prior art discussed: Wright et al, Makarova et al.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)  Substance of Interview including description of the general nat reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out the impand indicated they would elaborate on this in a response (regated the E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as:  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendmentallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIONTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF									
Identification of prior art discussed: Wright et al, Makarova et al Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) Substance of Interview including description of the general nat reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out the impand indicated they would elaborate on this in a response (regatine E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIONTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the las GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF	<u>al.</u> .								
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) Substance of Interview including description of the general nat reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out the impand indicated they would elaborate on this in a response (regathe E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIONTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the las GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF	<u>al.</u> .		Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-9</u> .						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nat reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant pointed out the impand indicated they would elaborate on this in a response (regathe E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIONTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF</u>	Identification of prior art discussed: Wright et al, Makarova et al.								
reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant pointed out the impand indicated they would elaborate on this in a response (regathe E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIONTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF</u>	] was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out the importance of E vs. Z stereochemistry of the intermediate and indicated they would elaborate on this in a response (regarding claims 1-5). With respect to claims 6-9, again, the E/Z distinction was presented as being important as well as the salt form of the reactant.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.									